



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/556,607	04/21/2000	Arthur Joseph Kalb	135469-200200 (P04342)	6834
26689 75	590 10/23/2003		EXAMINER	
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON			BAYARD, EMMANUEL	
225 WEST WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · ,			2631	
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	$\circ$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Office Action Summary	09/556,607	KALB, ARTHUR	JOSEPH			
Onice Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Emmanuel Bayard	2631	drose			
Period fo		ears on the cover sne	st with the correspondence au	uress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	ugust 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are pending in the application						
<b>5</b> . C	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	• •					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)ı	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .		riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( e of Informal Patent Application (PTC :				
S. Patent and Tr	rademark Office	ion Summany	Part of Paner No. O				

Application/Control Number: 09/556,607 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to amendment filed on 8/4/03 in which claims 1-57 are pending. The applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Therefore this case is made final (see Examiner response below).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul U.S. Patent No 6,198,417 B1 in view of Lewison U.S. Patent No 5,933,453.

As per claims 1, 20 and 39 Paul discloses an apparatus including a circuit for converting an analog signal to a pulse width modulated signal comprising: an integration stage (see figs. 1, 4, 9, 11 elements 106, 412, 908, 906. 1106, 1108 and col.1, line 27 and col.2, lines 26-30 and col.6, lines 55-56 and col.7, lines 1-6) configured to receive combine and integrate an analog input signal and a set of one or more feedback signals and in accordance therewith provide a set of one or more integrated signals; a modulation stage, (see figs. 1, 4, 9, 11 elements 102, 402, 902, 1102 and col.1, line 25 and col.2, line 25 and col.6, line 54 and col.10, line 14) coupled to said integration stage, configured to receive and modulate a final portion of said set of one or more

Application/Control Number: 09/556,607 Page 3

Art Unit: 2631

integrated signals and in accordance therewith provide a discrete time (see col.1, lines 25-26); a first feedback stage, coupled between said modulation stage and said integration stage, configured to receive said discrete time in accordance therewith provide a first portion of said set of one or more feedback signals.

However Paul does not teach a modulation stage to provide a discrete time pulse width modulation signal.

Lewison teaches a modulation to provide a discrete time pulse width modulation (see fig.2 element 205 and col.4, lines 2-45 and col.5, lines 1-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the pulse width modulation of Lewison into Paul as to determine the effective uncorrected duty cycle of the PWM waveform for the next period as taught by Lewison (see col.4, lines 7-15).

As per claims 2, 21 and 40 the apparatus of Paul does include an adder and an integration stage (see figs. 1, 4, 9, 11 and col.2, lines 29-30 and col.7, line 20 and col.8, lines 22-30 and col.10, line 24).

As per claims 3, 22 and 41 the apparatus of Paul does include a feed forward circuit (see col.6, line 60 and col.10, line 21).

As per claims 4, 23 and 42 the apparatus of Paul does include an integration stage.

Furthermore implementing such integration to be a continuous time integrator would have been obvious to one skilled in the art so that a sigma delta modulator could convert the continuous time into discrete time.

Application/Control Number: 09/556,607

Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

As per claims 5, 24 and 43 the apparatus of Paul does include at least one sampled integrator circuit (see fig.8 element 802 and col.6, lines 17-19 and col.8, lines 30-32).

As per claims 6, 7, 25, 26 and 44-45, the apparatus of Paul does include a quantization stage (see abstract). Furthermore implementing the quantization to be coupled to a pulse width modulation would have been obvious to one skilled in the art so as to compute at least one bit of each pulse width modulation signal.

As per claims 8, 27 and 46, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the pulse width modulation of Lewison into Paul as to determine the effective uncorrected duty cycle of the PWM waveform for the next period as taught by Lewison (see col.4, lines 7-15).

As per claims 9, 28 and 47-49 the apparatus of Paul does include a first feedback stage. Furthermore implementing such feedback stage to be a continuous time feedback circuit would have been obvious to one skilled in the art so that a sigma delta modulator could convert the continuous time into discrete time.

As per claim 10, the apparatus of Paul does include a first feedback stage having a discrete time (see col.1, line 25).

As per claims 11, 29-30 the apparatus of Paul does include a first feedback stage.

Furthermore implementing an anti-aliasing stage filter into the first feedback stage would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to eliminate or reduce errors in the pulse width modulation signals.

Application/Control Number: 09/556,607

Art Unit: 2631

As per claims 12, 31 and 50 the apparatus of Paul does include a second feedback stage and a quantization stage and a integration stage (see figs. 1, 4, 9, 11 and see abstract and col.3, lines 42-49).

Page 5

As per claims 13, 32 and 51 the apparatus of Paul does include a first adder, a second adder and an integration stage (see figs. 1, 4, 9, 11).

As per claims 14, 33 and 52 the apparatus of Paul does include an integration stage. Furthermore implementing such integration to be a continuous time integrator would have been obvious to one skilled in the art so that a sigma delta modulator could convert the continuous time into discrete time.

As per claims 15, 16, 34-35 and 53-54 the apparatus of Paul does include a quantization stage (see abstract). Furthermore implementing the quantization to be coupled to a pulse width modulation would have been obvious to one skilled in the art so as to compute at least one bit of each pulse width modulation signal.

As per claims 17, 36 and 55, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the pulse width modulation of Lewison into Paul as to determine the effective uncorrected duty cycle of the PWM waveform for the next period as taught by Lewison (see col.4, lines 7-15).

As per claims 18, 37 the apparatus of Paul does include a first feedback stage.

Furthermore implementing such feedback stage to be a continuous time feedback circuit would

Application/Control Number: 09/556,607 Page 6

Art Unit: 2631

have been obvious to one skilled in the art so that a sigma delta modulator could convert the continuous time into discrete time.

As per claims 19, 38 and 56-57 the apparatus of Paul does include a first feedback stage and a second feedback stage coupled to a digital to analog conversion stage. Furthermore implementing an anti-aliasing stage filter into the first feedback stage would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to eliminate or reduce errors in the pulse width modulation signals.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/6/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed hereinbelow in the order in which they appear in the response filed on 8/6/0
- (A) At paragraph 2 of page 17 of the response, Applicant assert that Lewison does not teach a pulse width modulated signal using any form of feedback.

In response, Examiner respectfully disagree with the applicant's arguments. In fact,

Lewison does teach such limitation (see figs. 1, 2 elements 103 and 104 and col.1, lines 21-25).

As shown in figure 2, element 103 of Lewison, clearly generates a PWM output which is used by
the feedback element (104) to provide a feedback signal to the combination circuit (105). This
limitation as originally presented is clearly taught by Lewison. Therefore, to combine the teaching
of Lewison and Paul would have been obvious to one skilled in the art because both have a

Application/Control Number: 09/556,607

Page 7

Art Unit: 2631

circuitry which is designed and described to be operative with one or more feedback signal in order to determine the effective uncorrected duty cycle of the PWM waveform for the next period. In addition this case is made final and is rejected under the same rationale given in the

previous action.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is (703) 308-9573. The

Art Unit: 2631

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour, can be reached on (703) 306-3034. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Emmanuel Bayard

Primary Examiner

October 15, 2003